

1 Natasha E. Daughtrey (SBN 319975)
2 NDaughtrey@goodwinlaw.com
3 **GOODWIN PROCTER LLP**
4 601 South Figueroa Street
5 41st Floor
6 Los Angeles, CA 90017
7 Tel: (213) 426-2500

8 I. Neel Chatterjee (SBN 173985)
9 NChatterjee@goodwinlaw.com
10 **GOODWIN PROCTER LLP**
11 601 Marshall Street
12 Redwood City, CA 94063
13 Tel: (650) 752-3100

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
15 *Additional Counsel listed*
16 *on signature page*

17 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
18 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

19 X1 DISCOVERY, INC.,
20 Plaintiff,
21 v.
22 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,
23 Defendant.

Civil Action No. 8:23-cv-2415

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

24 **COMPLAINT**

25 Plaintiff X1 Discovery, Inc. (“X1”), brings this Complaint against Defendant
26 Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”) for patent infringement.

27 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

28 1. This a case to stop the theft of X1’s patented technology by Microsoft,

1 alleging a civil action for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of the United
2 States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285.

3 2. In the early 2000s, software engineers at X1 recognized that the need
4 to find and access digitally stored information and applications quickly and
5 efficiently was growing, and that conventional search software was inadequate.
6 They worked tirelessly to develop technology that would shift the search paradigm
7 and allow users to find and act upon information as fast as possible. With hard
8 work and ingenuity, they developed novel and reactive search methods and systems
9 that displayed search results as fast as a user could type, updating results in real
10 time, and in less steps.

11 3. X1 was built upon these inventions—a small company named after the
12 Bell X-1, the first airplane to exceed the speed of sound. Like its namesake, X1
13 sought to develop products and technology that could deliver search results faster
14 than ever before. X1 invested significant time and resources developing its ground
15 breaking and award-winning flagship product, X1 Search™, which is based on the
16 technology protected by X1’s valid and enforceable U.S. Patent Nos. 7,370,035
17 (“the ’035 Patent,” attached as Exhibit 1), 9,633,139 (“the ’139 Patent,” attached as
18 Exhibit 2) and 10,552,490 (“the ’490 Patent,” attached as Exhibit 3) (collectively,
19 the “Asserted Patents.”). The inventions of the Asserted Patents are central to X1’s
20 product offerings.

21 4. Microsoft directly infringes, either literally or under the doctrine of
22 equivalents, and indirectly infringes one or more claims of the Asserted Patents.

23 **THE PARTIES**

24 5. Plaintiff X1 Discovery, Inc. is a corporation organized and existing
25 under the laws of the State of Delaware with a principal place of business at 251
26 South Lake Avenue, Suite 800, Pasadena, California 91101.

27 6. On information and belief, Defendant Microsoft Corporation is a
28 Washington corporation with a principal place of business located at 1 Microsoft

1 Way, Redmond, Washington 98052-8300. On information and belief, Microsoft
2 causes and controls the sale, offer for sale, and distribution of its products in the
3 State of California and in this District.

4 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5 7. This is a civil action, for patent infringement under the Patent Laws of
6 the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271, 281, 283, 284,
7 and 285.

8 8. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over X1's claims for
9 patent infringement pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and 35 U.S.C. §§
10 271 *et seq*.

11 9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because
12 Defendant regularly transacts business in this District by, among other things,
13 offering Defendant's products and services to customers, business affiliates and
14 partners located in this District. In addition, Defendant has committed acts of direct
15 infringement of one or more claims of the Asserted Patents in this District.

16 10. Venue is proper in this judicial district for X1's claims for patent
17 infringement pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and/or 1400(b) because
18 Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, transacts business and
19 is registered to transact business within this District and offers for sale in this
20 District products that infringe, or induce or contribute to the infringement of, one or
21 more claims of the Asserted Patents. On information and belief, Defendant has
22 regular and established places of business in this District in at least the following
23 locations: 3 Park Plaza, Suite 1600, Irvine, CA 92614; 3333 Bristol Street, Suite
24 1249, Costa Mesa, CA 92626; and 13031 West Jefferson Blvd., Suite 200, Los
25 Angeles, CA 90094.

26 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

27 11. X1 is the sole owner by assignment of all right, title, and interest in all
28 three Asserted Patents, which generally relate to computing devices utilizing novel

1 indexed search systems and components thereof. *See* Exhibits 1-3.

2 12. Conventional search application programs are slow and cumbersome
3 to use. If a search engine returns unsatisfactory results, then the user needs to edit
4 the search terms, initiate another search, and review the new results. Search
5 engines have limited search filtering, or omit specific types of search targets.
6 Searches may also take an excessive amount of time to complete.

7 13. X1 software engineers recognized the limitations of conventional
8 searching and developed novel methods and systems for search indexing—creating
9 incremental or reactive searching of a variety of search targets, including files,
10 emails, email attachments, Web pages, and specific databases that were significant
11 technological improvements over the prior art. In recognition of these
12 groundbreaking inventions, the United States Patent and Trademark Office
13 subsequently granted the Asserted Patents protecting this novel technology.

14 14. In 2003, X1 Technologies, Inc. (“X1 Technologies”) was founded.
15 The company spent significant time and resources developing its flagship product:
16 X1 Search™. X1 Search™ is an award-winning, next generation productivity
17 application, which simplifies the way users search and act upon desktop files, items
18 in cloud repositories such as OneDrive, emails, attachments, SharePoint and more.
19 X1 Search™’s single, unified interface and fast-as-you-type search with hit
20 highlighting and full-fidelity preview of results provides immediate visibility into
21 the critical information users need.

22 15. In 2011, X1 Technologies was reorganized and became X1 Discovery,
23 Inc. (“X1”). X1 currently has two product offerings: X1 Search™ and X1
24 Enterprise Collect™. X1 Search™ is an embedded feature of each of these
25 products and X1’s business is built around these product offerings.

26 16. Defendant makes, uses, sells, and/or offers for sale products that
27 infringe at least one claim of each of the Asserted Patents, including at least its
28 Surface Laptop Go 3, Surface Laptop Go 2, Surface Laptop 5, Surface Laptop

1 Studio, and Surface Laptop Studio 2 (collectively, the “Accused Laptop Products”).
2 Each Accused Laptop Product comes installed with Microsoft Windows 10 or 11,
3 which runs infringing searching software, Microsoft Search. Defendant also
4 separately makes, uses, sells, and/or offers for sale Microsoft Windows 10 and 11
5 and Windows 365, which can be installed on users’ computers to run Microsoft
6 Search (collectively, the “Accused Windows Products”).

7 **THE ASSERTED PATENTS**

8 **The ’035 Patent**

9 17. X1 is the owner by assignment of all right, title and interest, including
10 the right to sue for damages, in and to the ’035 Patent.

11 18. The ’035 Patent is entitled “Methods and Systems for Search
12 Indexing” and names William Gross and Steven Lee Colwell as inventors. The
13 ’035 Patent issued on May 6, 2008, based on U.S. Application No. 10/654,588,
14 filed on September 3, 2003. The ’035 Patent expired on October 1, 2023. A copy
15 of the ’035 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 1.

16 19. The ’035 Patent is valid and enforceable under United States Patent
17 Laws.

18 20. The ’035 Patent relates to improvements in computer search
19 technology and data processing, and more particularly to methods and systems for
20 locating data.¹ Prior to the claimed invention conventional searching using existing
21 search engines was slow and cumbersome, for example, requiring a user to type in
22 search terms, click on the search, and review the results. ’035 Patent at 1:32-35. If
23 the user was not satisfied with the search results, as would often be the case where
24 too many results were returned or items that were not of interest were found, then
25 the user would be required to edit the search terms, click search, and again review
26

27 ¹ X1 provides the following general description of the ’035 Patent. This description is not
28 intended to limit, define, or otherwise affect the construction and/or application of the ’035
Patent.

1 the results. *Id.* at 1:35-39. In addition, many conventional search engines only
2 provided for limited search filtering, where the user was limited to filtering search
3 results by date, file location, and file contents instead of being able to filter or
4 organize the search results by specific types of search targets such as email, web
5 pages, or other type of files. *Id.* at 1:39-42. Such conventional searching also
6 required the user to develop deliberate and tedious search stings and strategies. *Id.*
7 at 1:62-65.

8 21. To address these problems with conventional searching, the '035
9 Patent discloses novel methods and systems for incremental or reactive searching of
10 a variety of search targets, including files, emails, email attachments, Web pages,
11 and specific databases, effectively improving and increasing the speed of searching,
12 organizing, and accessing files in a data storage system of a computer system. *See*
13 *e.g.*, '035 Patent at 2:9-13. Unlike conventional searching, because a search is
14 performed incrementally, the search results are provided or narrowed substantially
15 and immediately after each character in a search string is entered by the user. *Id.* at
16 2:13-16. This provides the user with immediate feedback as the search string is
17 entered, so the user can quickly decide whether the search string needs to be
18 modified, improving search accuracy and allowing for quicker access to the file or
19 application the user needs. *Id.* at 2:16-21.

20 22. Specifically, the '035 Patent teaches in certain embodiments a method
21 of performing a search using a first search string and a second string in the search
22 field, wherein the first and second strings are separated by a string separator
23 character in the first search field, and incrementally locating a first group of
24 documents that has at least the first word in the first string and receiving a second
25 string in the first search field, wherein in response to the second string,
26 incrementally locating a second group of documents that has at least a second word
27 that begins with the second string. The '035 Patent also teaches in certain
28 embodiments a method of performing a search where in response to receiving the

1 first and second strings the identified documents are displayed. The '035 Patent
2 also teaches in certain embodiments that the displayed documents are automatically
3 highlighted for occurrences of the words used in the search strings. The '035 Patent
4 also teaches a search apparatus comprising instructions configured to execute the
5 claimed search methods.

6 23. The methods and systems disclosed in the '035 Patent were neither
7 well-known nor conventional to skilled artisans as of the priority date. The prior art
8 does not teach or suggest the claimed reactive and incremental searching methods.
9 For example, the '035 Patent teaches a method of performing a search in which the
10 system first receives a first partial search string in a first search field, and a second
11 partial search string in the first search field, wherein the first and second partial
12 search strings are separated by a string separator character in the first search field.
13 When the first string is detected, an incremental search is initiated, which can
14 search a plurality of types of documents (including word processing documents and
15 emails) that has at least a first word that begins with the first string. The search
16 results are then updated with each successive character, including in response to a
17 second string. In response to receiving the second string, an incremental search is
18 initiated for a second group of documents that has at least a second word that begins
19 with the second string and one or more documents that are included in each of the
20 first and second groups of documents can include those that include the term from
21 the first search string and the second search string. The resulting documents are
22 then displayed for the user. Prior art methods for searching were much slower and
23 did not allow for incremental updating of search results. Thus, the claimed
24 invention specifically improves computer technology at least with regard to the
25 accuracy and efficiency with which searches can be conducted and generally
26 improves user interfaces by providing users with quicker and easier access to
27 desired files or applications using a first and second search string. The claimed
28 invention is thus directed to a technological improvement, and not an abstract idea.

1 24. As described above, the '035 Patent's claims are inventive and
2 describe a substantial improvement in the art of computer searching. Namely, the
3 claims describe methods for performing searches in a manner that is more than just
4 the performance of well-understood, routine, or conventional activities that were
5 previously known in the field. The conventional searching methods predating the
6 '035 Patent did not involve incrementally updating search results in real time as
7 each successive character is entered. Additionally, the claimed methods allow users
8 to incrementally search over at least two strings and displays results containing both
9 strings, a marked improvement over the older searching techniques. These
10 searching techniques and strategies were not routinely used or conventional before
11 the '035 Patent was filed.

12 **The '139 Patent**

13 25. X1 is the owner by assignment of all right, title and interest, including
14 the right to sue for damages, in and to the '139 Patent.

15 26. The '139 Patent is entitled "Methods and Systems for Search
16 Indexing" and names William Gross and Steven Lee Colwell as inventors. The
17 '139 Patent issued on April 25, 2017, based on U.S. Application No. 14/507,519,
18 filed on October 6, 2014. The '139 Patent expired on October 1, 2023. A copy of
19 the '139 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 2.

20 27. The '139 Patent is valid and enforceable under United States Patent
21 Laws.

22 28. The '139 Patent relates to improvements in computer search
23 technology and data processing, and more particularly to methods and systems for
24 locating data.² Prior to the claimed invention conventional searching using existing
25 search engines was slow and cumbersome, for example, requiring a user to type in
26 search terms, click on the search, and review the results. '139 Patent at 1:38-41. If

27 ² X1 provides the following general description of the '139 Patent. This description is not
28 intended to limit, define, or otherwise affect the construction and/or application of the '139
Patent.

1 the user was not satisfied with the search results, as would often be the case where
2 too many results were returned or items that were not of interest were found, then
3 the user would be required to edit the search terms click search, and again review
4 the results. *Id.* at 1:41-45. In addition, many conventional search engines only
5 provided for limited search filtering, where the user was limited to filtering searches
6 by date, file location, and file contents instead of being able to filter or organize the
7 search results by specific types of search targets such as email, web pages, or other
8 type of files. *Id.* at 1:45-48. Such conventional searching also required the user to
9 develop deliberate and tedious search stings and strategies. *Id.* at 2:1-4.

10 29. To address these problems with conventional searching, the '139
11 Patent discloses novel methods and systems for incremental or reactive searching of
12 a variety of search targets, including files, emails, email attachments, Web pages,
13 and specific databases, effectively improving and increasing the speed of searching,
14 organizing, and accessing files in a data storage system of a computer system. *See*
15 *e.g.*, '139 Patent at 2:15-19. Unlike conventional searching, because a search is
16 performed incrementally, the search results are provided or narrowed substantially
17 and immediately after each character in a search string is entered by the user. *Id.* at
18 2:19-22. This provides the user with immediate feedback as the search string is
19 entered, so the user can quickly decide whether the search string needs to be
20 modified, improving search accuracy and allowing for quicker access to the file or
21 application the user needs. *Id.* at 2:22-27.

22 30. Specifically, the '139 Patent teaches in certain embodiments a method
23 of performing a search using a first partial search string and a second partial search
24 string in the search field, wherein the first and second partial strings are separated
25 by a string separator character in the first search field, and incrementally searching
26 for a first group of documents that has at least one string that corresponds to the
27 first partial string and receiving a second partial string in the first search field,
28 wherein in response to the second partial string, incrementally searches for a second

1 group of documents each including at least one string that corresponds to the
2 second partial search string. The '139 Patent further teaches updating the search
3 results as each successive character of the first partial search string and the second
4 partial search string is received respectively in the first search field by identifying
5 one or more documents included in both the first group of documents and the
6 second group of documents, wherein the first partial search string and the second
7 partial search string are non-adjacent in at least one of the identified documents.
8 The '139 Patent further teaches in certain embodiments a method of performing a
9 search where in response to receiving the first and second partial strings the
10 identified documents are displayed. The '139 Patent also teaches a computing
11 system executing software to execute the claimed search methods.

12 31. The methods and systems disclosed in the '139 Patent were neither
13 well-known nor conventional to skilled artisans as of the priority date. The prior art
14 does not teach or suggest the claimed reactive and incremental searching methods.
15 For example, the '139 Patent teaches a method of performing a search in which the
16 system first receives a first partial search string in a first search field, and a second
17 partial search string in the first search field, wherein the first and second partial
18 search strings are separated by a string separator character in the first search field.
19 When the first partial string is detected, an incremental search is initiated, which
20 can search a plurality of types of documents (including word processing documents
21 and/or emails) that has at least a first word that begins with the first partial string.
22 The search results are then updated with each successive character, including in
23 response to a second partial string. In response to receiving the second partial
24 string, an incremental search is initiated for a second group of documents that has at
25 least a second word that begins with the second partial string. The documents that
26 are included in each of the first and second groups of documents can include those
27 that include the term from the first partial search string and the second partial
28 search string and/or documents wherein the first partial search string and the second

1 partial search string are non-adjacent in at least one of the identified documents.
2 The resulting documents are then displayed for the user. Prior art methods for
3 searching were much slower and did not allow for incremental updating of search
4 results or the identification of documents wherein the first and second partial strings
5 are non-adjacent in the document. Thus, the claimed invention specifically
6 improves computer technology at least with regard to the accuracy and efficiency
7 with which searches can be conducted and generally improves user interfaces by
8 providing users with quicker and easier access to desired files or applications using
9 a first and second search string and the ability to identify more relevant documents
10 based on the presence of the first and second partial search strings even when non-
11 adjacent within the document or file. The claimed invention is thus directed to a
12 technological improvement, and not an abstract idea.

13 32. As described above, the '139 Patent's claims are inventive and
14 describe a substantial improvement in the art of computer searching. Namely, the
15 claims describe methods for performing searches in a manner that is more than just
16 the performance of well-understood, routine, or conventional activities that were
17 previously known in the field. The conventional searching methods predating the
18 '139 Patent did not involve incrementally updating search results in real time as
19 each successive character is entered. Additionally, the claimed methods allow users
20 to incrementally search over at least two partial strings and displays results
21 containing both strings, including results wherein the first and second partial search
22 strings are non-adjacent in the same document, a marked improvement over the
23 older searching techniques. These searching techniques and strategies were not
24 routinely used or conventional before the '139 Patent was filed.

25 **The '490 Patent**

26 33. X1 is the owner by assignment of all right, title and interest, including
27 the right to sue for damages, in and to the '490 Patent.

28 34. The '490 Patent is entitled "Methods and Systems for Search

1 Indexing” and names William Gross and Steven Lee Colwell as inventors. The
2 ’490 Patent issued on February 4, 2020, based on U.S. Application No. 15/495,507,
3 filed on April 24, 2017. The ’490 Patent expires on November 8, 2024. A copy of
4 the ’490 Patent is attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 3.

5 35. The ’490 Patent is valid and enforceable under United States Patent
6 Laws.

7 36. The ’490 Patent relates to improvements in computer search
8 technology and data processing, and more particularly to systems for storing an
9 index data structure comprising associations between each of a plurality of
10 character strings to associated files of a plurality of files in which the character
11 strings are included.³ Prior to the claimed invention conventional searching using
12 existing search engines was slow and cumbersome, for example, requiring a user to
13 type in search terms, click on the search, and review the results. ’490 Patent at
14 1:43-46. If the user was not satisfied with the search results, as would often be the
15 case where too many results were returned or items that were not of interest were
16 found, then the user would be required to edit the search terms click search, and
17 again review the results. *Id.* at 1:46-50. In addition, many conventional search
18 engines only provided for limited search filtering, where the user was limited to
19 filtering searches by date, file location, and file contents instead of being able to
20 filter or organize the search results by specific types of search targets such as email,
21 web pages, or other type of files. *Id.* at 1:50-53. Such conventional searching also
22 required the user to develop deliberate and tedious search stings and strategies. *Id.*
23 at 2:6-9.

24 37. To address these problems with conventional searching, the ’490
25 Patent discloses novel systems for incremental or reactive searching of a variety of
26 search targets, including files, emails, email attachments, Web pages, and specific

27 ³ X1 provides the following general description of the ’490 Patent. This description is not
28 intended to limit, define, or otherwise affect the construction and/or application of the ’490
Patent.

1 databases, effectively improving and increasing the speed of searching, organizing,
2 and accessing files in a data storage system of a computer system. *See e.g.*, '490
3 Patent at 2:20-24. Unlike conventional searching, because a search is performed
4 incrementally, the search results are provided or narrowed substantially and
5 immediately after each character in a search string is entered by the user. *Id.* at
6 2:24-27. This provides the user with immediate feedback as the search string is
7 entered, so the user can quickly decide whether the search string needs to be
8 modified, improving search accuracy and allowing for quicker access to the file or
9 application the user needs. *Id.* at 2:27-32. Additionally, the '490 Patent discloses
10 using an index data structure comprising associations between files and character
11 strings to further improve searching speed and file accessibility. *Id.* at 5:29-36.

12 38. Specifically, the '490 Patent teaches in certain embodiments a
13 computing system with one or more hardware computer processors, a display
14 device viewable by the user, and a computer readable storage medium storing an
15 index data structure. The index includes a data structure that associates character
16 search strings (including a first and second search string) with files, documents, and
17 the like. *Id.* at 5:6-12. For example Web pages previously viewed in the search
18 application's view pane or area, and optionally, stored Web pages previously
19 viewed using other user browsers, or otherwise stored locally can be indexed. *Id.* at
20 12-15. Separate indexes can be used for the email, files, cached Web pages,
21 databases and the like, or a single index can be used for the foregoing. *Id.* at 15-18.
22 The '490 Patent also teaches in certain embodiments searching the index data
23 structure for a first one or more of the plurality of files each including at least one
24 string that corresponds to the first search string and searching the index data
25 structure for a second one or more of the plurality of files each including at least
26 one string that corresponds to the second search string. The '490 Patent also
27 teaches updating a set of matching files of the plurality of files as each successive
28 character of the first partial search string and the second partial search string is

1 received respectively in the first search field by identifying any of the plurality of
2 files included in both the first one or more of the plurality of files and the second
3 one or more of the plurality of files, wherein the first partial search string and the
4 second partial search string are non-adjacent in at least one of the matching files.

5 39. The systems disclosed in the '490 Patent were neither well-known nor
6 conventional to skilled artisans as of the priority date. The prior art does not teach
7 or suggest the claimed reactive and incremental searching systems. For example,
8 the '490 Patent teaches a system with a searchable index data structure that includes
9 files that associate character search strings (including a first and second search
10 string) with files, documents, and the like. The '490 Patent also teaches in certain
11 embodiments searching the index data structure for a first one or more of the
12 plurality of files each including at least one string that corresponds to the first
13 search string and searching the index data structure for a second one or more of the
14 plurality of files each including at least one string that corresponds to the second
15 search string. The '490 Patent also teaches updating a set of matching files of the
16 plurality of files as each successive character of the first partial search string and
17 the second partial search string is received respectively in the first search field by
18 identifying any of the plurality of files included in both the first one or more of the
19 plurality of files and the second one or more of the plurality of files, wherein the
20 first partial search string and the second partial search string are non-adjacent in at
21 least one of the matching files. Thus, the claimed invention specifically improves
22 computer technology at least with regard to the accuracy and efficiency with which
23 searches can be conducted and generally improves user interfaces by allowing users
24 to search indexed data for first and second search strings and the ability to identify
25 more relevant documents in the indexed data structure based on the presence of the
26 first and second search strings even when non-adjacent within a document or file.
27 The claimed invention is thus directed to a technological improvement, and not an
28 abstract idea.

1 40. As described above, the '490 Patent's claims are inventive and
2 describe a substantial improvement in the art of computer searching. Namely, the
3 claims describe systems for performing searches in a manner that is more than just
4 the performance of well-understood, routine, or conventional activities that were
5 previously known in the field. The conventional searching methods predating the
6 '490 Patent did not involve incrementally updating search results in real time as
7 each successive character is entered. Additionally, the claimed systems allow users
8 to incrementally search over at least two strings within an indexed data structure
9 and displays results from with that index data structure containing both strings,
10 including results wherein the first and second search strings are non-adjacent in the
11 same document, a marked improvement over the older searching techniques. These
12 searching techniques and strategies were not routinely used or conventional before
13 the '490 Patent was filed.

14 **COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,370,035**

15 41. X1 incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
16 through 40 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

17 42. On information and belief, Microsoft has directly (either literally or
18 under the doctrine of equivalents) and indirectly infringed at least independent
19 claim 10 of the '035 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and
20 importing in the United States certain laptop computers, including without
21 limitation its Surface Laptop Go 3, Surface Laptop Go 2, Surface Laptop 5, Surface
22 Laptop Studio, and Surface Laptop Studio 2 (collectively, the "Accused Laptop
23 Products"). Each Accused Laptop Product comes installed with Microsoft
24 Windows 10 or 11, which runs infringing searching software, Microsoft Search.
25 Defendant also separately makes, uses, sells, and/or offers for sale Microsoft
26 Windows 10 and 11 and Windows 365, which can be installed on users' computers
27 to run Microsoft Search (collectively, the "Accused Windows Products").

28 43. Support for the allegations of direct and/or indirect infringement may

1 be found in the claim chart that applies claim 10 of the '035 Patent to exemplary
2 Accused Laptop Products and Accused Windows Products, attached hereto as
3 Exhibit 4 (with cited Exhibits 5-8). These allegations of infringement are
4 preliminary and are therefore subject to supplementation and change.

5 44. On information and belief, Microsoft has had knowledge and notice of
6 the '035 Patent since at least the filing of its U.S. Patent Application No.
7 12/328,410, filed on December 4, 2008, which cites to the '035 Patent. Microsoft
8 has also had knowledge and notice of the '035 Patent since at least the filing of this
9 Complaint.

10 45. Microsoft has committed these acts of infringement without license or
11 authorization from X1.

12 46. Microsoft is liable for indirect infringement, *i.e.*, both induced and
13 contributory infringement, based on the direct infringement that is the result of
14 activities performed by customers, distributors, end-users, vendors including
15 customer-support and/or manufacturers who use all elements or perform all steps of
16 one or more claims of the '035 Patent. For example, end users of the Microsoft
17 Accused Laptop Products and/or Accused Windows Products, either literally or
18 under the doctrine of equivalents, infringe one or more claims of the '035 Patent
19 (*e.g.*, claim 10 and one or more of its dependents).

20 47. Microsoft actively, knowingly, and intentionally induces, and
21 continues to actively, knowingly, and intentionally induce, infringement of the '035
22 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by their customers and end users. For example,
23 Microsoft actively induces its customers, the end-users of the Accused Laptop
24 Products and Accused Windows Products, to directly infringe the '035 Patent by
25 instructing, directing, and encouraging these end-users to perform the methods of
26 the Asserted Patents using the Accused Products, such that Microsoft is engaged in
27 unlawful inducement of infringement. On information and belief, Microsoft
28 instructs, directs, and encourages its customers to infringe by providing at least

1 installation/technical manuals, troubleshooting guides, and/or product tutorials. *See*
2 Exhibits 7 and 8.

3 48. Microsoft is also liable for contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C.
4 § 271(c) for contributing to and continuing to contribute to the infringement of the
5 '035 Patent by, among other things, providing customers with one or more
6 computing devices utilizing indexed search systems and components thereof that
7 contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '035 Patent, including
8 the claims directed to methods of performing a search.

9 49. On information and belief, Microsoft is engaged in induced and
10 contributory infringement with respect to its importation activities and with respect
11 to its sale after importation.

12 50. Microsoft's acts of infringement have caused, and continue to cause,
13 damage to X1, and X1 is entitled to recover damages sustained as a result of
14 Microsoft's wrongful acts.

15 **COUNT II – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,633,139**

16 51. X1 incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
17 through 40 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

18 52. On information and belief, Microsoft has directly (either literally or
19 under the doctrine of equivalents) and indirectly infringed at least independent
20 claim 23 of the '139 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and
21 importing in the United States certain laptop computers, including without
22 limitation its Surface Laptop Go 3, Surface Laptop Go 2, Surface Laptop 5, Surface
23 Laptop Studio, and Surface Laptop Studio 2 (collectively, the "Accused Laptop
24 Products"). Each Accused Laptop Product comes installed with Microsoft
25 Windows 10 or 11, which runs infringing searching software, Microsoft Search.
26 Defendant also separately makes, uses, sells, and/or offers for sale Microsoft
27 Windows 10 and 11 and Windows 365, which can be installed on users' computers
28 to run Microsoft Search (collectively, the "Accused Windows Products").

1 53. Support for the allegations of direct and/or indirect infringement may
2 be found in the claim chart that applies claim 23 of the '139 Patent to exemplary
3 Accused Laptop Products and Accused Windows Products, attached hereto as
4 Exhibit 9 (with cited Exhibits 5-8). These allegations of infringement are
5 preliminary and are therefore subject to supplementation and change.

6 54. On information and belief, Microsoft has had knowledge and notice of
7 the '139 Patent since at least the filing of its U.S. Patent Application No.
8 12/328,410, filed on December 4, 2008. Microsoft has also had knowledge and
9 notice of the '139 Patent since at least the filing of this Complaint.

10 55. Microsoft has committed these acts of infringement without license or
11 authorization from X1.

12 56. Microsoft is liable for indirect infringement, *i.e.*, both induced and
13 contributory infringement, based on the direct infringement that is the result of
14 activities performed by customers, distributors, end-users, vendors including
15 customer-support and/or manufacturers who use all elements or perform all steps of
16 one or more claims of the '139 Patent. For example, end users of the Microsoft
17 Accused Laptop Products and/or Accused Windows Products, either literally or
18 under the doctrine of equivalents, infringe one or more claims of the '139 Patent
19 (*e.g.*, claim 23 and one or more of its dependents).

20 57. Microsoft actively, knowingly, and intentionally induces, and
21 continues to actively, knowingly, and intentionally induce, infringement of the '139
22 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) by their customers and end users. For example,
23 Microsoft actively induces its customers, the end-users of the Accused Laptop
24 Products and Accused Windows Products, to directly infringe the '139 Patent by
25 instructing, directing, and encouraging these end-users to perform the methods of
26 the Asserted Patents using the Accused Products, such that Microsoft is engaged in
27 unlawful inducement of infringement. On information and belief, Microsoft
28 instructs, directs, and encourages its customers to infringe by providing at least

1 installation/technical manuals, troubleshooting guides, and/or product tutorials. *See*
2 Exhibits 7 and 8.

3 58. Microsoft is also liable for contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C.
4 § 271(c) for contributing to and continuing to contribute to the infringement of the
5 '139 Patent by, among other things, providing customers with one or more
6 computing devices utilizing indexed search systems and components thereof that
7 contribute to the infringement of one or more claims of the '139 Patent, including
8 the claims directed to methods of performing a search.

9 59. On information and belief, Microsoft is engaged in induced and
10 contributory infringement with respect to its importation activities and with respect
11 to its sale after importation.

12 60. Microsoft's acts of infringement have caused, and continue to cause,
13 damage to X1, and X1 is entitled to recover damages sustained as a result of
14 Microsoft's wrongful acts.

15 **COUNT III – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,552,490**

16 61. X1 incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1
17 through 40 of this Complaint, as if fully set forth herein.

18 62. On information and belief, Microsoft has directly (either literally or
19 under the doctrine of equivalents) infringed at least independent claim 1 of the '490
20 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and importing in the United
21 States certain laptop computers, including without limitation its Surface Laptop Go
22 3, Surface Laptop Go 2, Surface Laptop 5, Surface Laptop Studio, and Surface
23 Laptop Studio 2 (collectively, the "Accused Laptop Products"). Each Accused
24 Laptop Product comes installed with Microsoft Windows 10 or 11, which runs
25 infringing searching software, Microsoft Search. Defendant also separately makes,
26 uses, sells, and/or offers for sale Microsoft Windows 10 and 11 and Windows 365,
27 which can be installed on users' computers to run Microsoft Search (collectively,
28 the "Accused Windows Products").

63. Support for the allegations of infringement may be found in the claim chart that applies claim 1 of the '490 Patent to exemplary Accused Laptop Products and Accused Windows Products, attached hereto as Exhibit 10 (with cited Exhibits 5-8 and 11). These allegations of infringement are preliminary and are therefore subject to supplementation and change.

64. On information and belief, Microsoft has had knowledge and notice of the '490 Patent since at least the filing of its U.S. Patent Application No. 12/328,410, filed on December 4, 2008. Microsoft has also had knowledge and notice of the '490 Patent since at least the filing of this Complaint.

65. Microsoft has committed these acts of infringement without license or authorization from X1.

66. Microsoft's acts of infringement have caused, and continue to cause, damage to X1, and X1 is entitled to recover damages sustained as a result of Microsoft's wrongful acts.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff X1 respectfully demands a jury trial pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, X1 respectfully demands judgment in its favor and against Microsoft as follows:

- a. A judgment that Defendant has infringed the '035 Patent;
- b. A judgment that Defendant has infringed the '139 Patent;
- c. A judgement that Defendant has infringed the '490 Patent;
- d. A permanent injunction restraining and enjoining Defendant and its officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, successors, assigns, parents, subsidiaries, affiliated or related companies, attorneys, and all others in active concert or participation with any of the foregoing, from directly or indirectly infringing the '490 Patent;

- 1 e. A judgment that awards X1 all appropriate damages under 35 U.S.C. §
2 284 for Defendant's past infringement, and any continuing or future
3 infringement of the Asserted Patents, up until the date such judgment is
4 entered, including interest, costs, and disbursements as justified under 35
5 U.S.C. § 284 to adequately compensate X1 for Defendant's infringement;
6 f. An adjudication that Defendant's infringement of the Asserted Patents has
7 been willful and deliberate;
8 g. An adjudication that X1 be awarded treble damages and pre-judgment
9 interest under 35 U.S.C. § 284 as a result of Defendant's willful and
10 deliberate infringement of the Asserted Patents;
11 h. An adjudication that this case is exceptional within the meaning of 35
12 U.S.C. § 285;
13 i. An adjudication that X1 be awarded costs and attorney's fees under 35
14 U.S.C. § 285; and
15 j. An award of such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.
16
17

18 Dated: December 19, 2023

/s/ Natasha E. Daughtrey

19 Natasha E. Daughtrey (SBN 319975)

20 NDaughtrey@goodwinlaw.com

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

21 601 South Figueroa Street

41st Floor

22 Los Angeles, CA 90017

23 Tel: (213) 426-2500

24 I. Neel Chatterjee (SBN 173985)

25 NChatterjee@goodwinlaw.com

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

26 601 Marshall Street

27 Redwood City, CA 94063

28 Tel: (650) 752-3100

1 Patrick J. McCarthy (SBN 990490)
2 (*pro hac pending*)
3 PMcCarthy@goodwinlaw.com
4 Sravan K. Tumuluri (SBN 90013874)
5 (*pro hac pending*)
6 STumuluri@goodwinlaw.com
7 **GOODWIN PROCTER LLP**
8 1900 N Street, N.W.
9 Washington, DC 20036
10 Tel: (202) 346-4266

11 Christie L. Larochelle (SBN 705561)
12 (*pro hac forthcoming*)
13 CLarochelle@goodwinlaw.com
14 Kristin M. Beale (SBN 703205) (*pro*
15 *hac forthcoming*)
16 KBeale@goodwinlaw.com
17 **GOODWIN PROCTER LLP**
18 100 Northern Avenue
19 Boston, MA 02210
20 Tel: (617) 570-1000

21 Jeremy D. Knight (SBN 6047260) (*pro*
22 *hac forthcoming*)
23 JKnight@goodwinlaw.com
24 **GOODWIN PROCTER LLP**
25 The New York Times Building
26 620 Eighth Avenue
27 New York, NY 10018
28 Tel: (212) 813-8800

Attorneys for Plaintiff XI Discovery,
Inc.